(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 3468 2003

PETITIONER : D. L. Divyakar,
Son of Lati Ram,
Aged about 45 years,
Govt. Service, Resident of
Hostel Colony, Bhaisma,
Distt. Korba, Chhattisgarh.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through The Secretary,
Scheduled Tribe, Scheduled Caste/
Backward Classes and Minority
Welfare Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhavan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

P. R. No. 3365/03
Presented by Shri Keeladhar Upadhyay
dated 18.10.03

2. Director, Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Department of Scheduled Tribe
and Scheduled Caste Development
Department,, Ravishankar Univer-
sity Premises, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. Deputy Director,
(Re-designated from Deputy Com-
missioner), Ravishankar University, premises
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

Received copy
18/10/2003

(34)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक wp 3468/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	23-1-2004 Shri Vinod Tekam, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer, takes notice for respondents 1 to 3. Heard on admission. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, being aggrieved by the order dated 2-7-2003 whereby he has been transferred from Higher Secondary School, Bhaisma, District Korba to Boys Higher Secondary School Jashpur Nagar, vide Annexure-P/1. The petitioner is working as a lecturer in Hindi subject since 20th August 1996 at Higher Secondary School Bhaisma, District Korba. The petitioner's main grievance is that there is only one post of Hindi lecturer and another post is of English lecturer, whereas two persons namely Amar Singh Rathiya and P.S.Kanwar have been transferred to Bhaisma and they are allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh on reorganization of the State. Therefore, the main grievance of the petitioner is that there is only one post of Hindi lecturer, whereas two persons have been posted. The petitioner has not been able to make out a case that he has been transferred on malafide ground or contrary to rules. It is not disputed that the petitioner was working at Bhaisma since	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

35

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20th August 1996, thereby he had completed seven years at one place, therefore, his transfer cannot be termed as malafide or contrary to any rule. I do not find any substance in the writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed and it is dismissed. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3154/2003 and I.A. No.9984/2003 also stand disposed of. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Barve